

Feb 10

Logistic regression:
motivation

1. Adding predictors to logistic regressions
2. Odds (and odds ratios) versus probabilities
3. Transforming posterior distributions
4. Prior predictive simulation in R

Cocaine use among adolescents



$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = a + \beta G_i$$

$$a \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$

$$\beta \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.3)$$

Where did this prior come from?


Priors in logistic regressions

Prior predictive simulation

(min. grade)

$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$
$$\text{logit}(p_i) = a + \beta G_i$$

$$a \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$



Logistic regression coefficients

$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = a + \beta G_i$$

$$a \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$

$$\beta \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.3)$$

	Mean	90% HPDI	
a	-4.59	-5.41	-3.91
β	0.12	0.05	0.20

Interpreting a

“The expected probability of having tried cocaine for a student with $G_i=0$ is:
 $\text{logistic}(-4.59) = 0.01 = 1\%$ ”

Standardized G_i

“The expected probability of having tried cocaine for a student in grade 9.54:
 $\text{logistic}(-4.59) = 0.01 = 1\%$ ”

Logistic regression coefficients

Interpreting β

Odds ratio

$$\exp(\beta) = \frac{\left(\frac{p^{G=1}}{1-p^{G=1}} \right)}{\left(\frac{p^{G=0}}{1-p^{G=0}} \right)}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit}(p) &= a + \beta G \\ \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) &= a + \beta G \\ \frac{p}{1-p} &= \exp(a + \beta G) \\ \frac{p}{1-p} &= \exp(a) \times \exp(\beta G) \end{aligned}$$

“For every unit increase in the covariate, the expected *odds* of the outcome is multiplied by $\exp(\beta)$ ”

“For every 1.67 grades a student completes, their expected *odds* of trying cocaine increased by 13% (multiplied by 1.13)”

Odds ratios

The scale of odds ratios depends on “initial” probability




Logistic regression coefficients

Alternatives to odds ratios

Cases


“An average 7th grade student has about a 0.83% chance of having tried cocaine, while for an average 12th grader, that probability is about 1.21%”

Posterior visualization




Logistic posteriors

Symmetric posterior distribution for $\alpha + \beta G_i$



Skewed posterior distribution for $p = \text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha + \beta G_i)$



Adding covariates

G_i : Grade in school (standardized)

D_i : Delinquency (standardized)

W_i : White (indicator)

$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_G G_i + \beta_D D_i + \beta_W W_i$$

$$\alpha \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$

$$\beta_G \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_D \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_W \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

	Mean	90% HPDI	
α	-6.26	-7.25	-5.30
β_G	0.18	0.09	0.27
β_D	0.90	0.77	0.99
β_W	0.70	0.40	1.00

Adding covariates

$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_G G_i + \beta_D D_i + \beta_W W_i$$

$$\alpha \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$

$$\beta_G \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_D \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_W \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

	Mean	90% HPDI	
α	-6.26	-7.25	-5.30
β_G	0.18	0.09	0.27
β_D	0.90	0.77	0.99
β_W	0.70	0.40	1.00

Interpreting results using odds ratios

Each coefficient has an easy-to-calculate (but hard-to-interpret) meaning

$$\exp(\alpha) = 0.0019$$

The odds of cocaine use for a student in the mean grade, with mean deviance, and who is not white is about 0.0019.

$$\exp(\beta_G) = 1.2022$$

For each standard deviation increase in grade level, students' odds of having tried cocaine increase by about 20%.

$$\exp(\beta_D) = 2.4611$$

For each standard deviation increase in deviance score, students' odds of having tried cocaine increase by about 146%.

$$\exp(\beta_W) = 2.0164$$

White students' odds of having tried cocaine are about 102% higher than non-white students'.

Adding covariates

$$C_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_G G_i + \beta_D D_i + \beta_W W_i$$

$$\alpha \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.5)$$

$$\beta_G \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_D \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

$$\beta_W \sim \text{Norm}(0, 0.5)$$

	Mean	90% HPDI	
α	-6.26	-7.25	-5.30
β_G	0.18	0.09	0.27
β_D	0.90	0.77	0.99
β_W	0.70	0.40	1.00

Interpreting results using selected cases

Don't interpret coefficients, but meaningful hypothetical cases in the data

$$\text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha) = 0.0019$$

The probability of cocaine use for a student in the mean grade, with mean deviance, and who is not white is about 0.0019.

$$\text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha + 2 \times \beta_G) = 0.0027$$

In comparison, an otherwise-identical student whose grade level is two standard deviations above the mean has a probability of cocaine use of about 0.0027

$$\text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha + \beta_W) = 0.0038$$

An 'average' white students' probability of having dried cocaine is about 0.0038