## <u>SOCI 620: QUANTITATIVE METHODS 2</u>

Covariates for causal analysis

- Agenda 1. Administrative
  - 2. Interacting variables in regression
  - 3. Causal analysis in regression
  - 4. Mediation, moderation, confounding, and collision
  - 5. Hands on:

**Building indicator** variables in R

> Slides are licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 © (1) (S) (3)

# Predicting income: interactions



## **DUMMY VARIABLES**

 $egin{aligned} \log(Inc_i) &\sim \operatorname{Norm}(\mu_i, \sigma) \ \mu_i &= lpha + eta_1 M_i + eta_2 A_i \ lpha, eta_1, eta_2 &\sim \operatorname{Norm}(0, 30) \ \sigma &\sim \operatorname{Unif}(0, 50) \end{aligned}$ 

 $M_i$  Indicator variable for male

 $A_i$ Indicator variable for respondents at least 35 years old

	Mean	Std. dev	2.5%	97.5%
$\alpha$	10.16	0.03	10.10	10.21
$eta_1$	0.13	0.03	0.07	0.19
$eta_2$	0.59	0.03	0.54	0.65
$\sigma$	0.81	0.01	0.79	0.83

 $eta_1: \exp(0.13) pprox 1.14$  (men make about 14% more than women, on average)

 $eta_2:\exp(0.59)pprox 1.81$  (people at least 35 years old make about 81% more than those under 35, on average)

## **INTERACTING DUMMIES**

 $egin{aligned} \log(Inc_i) &\sim \operatorname{Norm}(\mu_i, \sigma) \ \mu_i &= lpha + eta_1 M_i + eta_2 A_i + eta_3 M_i A_i \ lpha, eta_1, eta_2 &\sim \operatorname{Norm}(0, 30) \ \sigma &\sim \operatorname{Unif}(0, 50) \end{aligned}$ 

	Mean	Std. dev	2.5%	97.5%
$\alpha$	10.20	0.03	10.13	10.27
$eta_1$	0.05	0.05	-0.04	0.14
$eta_2$	0.52	0.04	0.44	0.61
$eta_3$	0.13	0.06	0.02	0.25
$\sigma$	0.81	0.01	0.79	0.83



## INTERACTING DUMMIES

 $\mu_i = lpha + eta_1 M_i + eta_2 A_i + eta_3 M_i A_i$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mu_{(<35,female)} &= lpha \ \mu_{(<35,male)} &= lpha + eta_1 \ \mu_{(\geq 35,female)} &= lpha + eta_2 \ \mu_{(\geq 35,male)} &= lpha + eta_1 + eta_2 + eta_3 \end{aligned}$$



## FRACTING DUMMIES

$\mu_i = lpha + eta_1 M_i + eta_2 A_i + eta_3 M_i A_i$
$\mu_{(<35,female)}=lpha$
$\mu_{(<35,male)}=lpha+eta_1$
$\mu_{(\geq 35, female)} = lpha + eta_2$
$\mu_{(\geq35,male)}=lpha+eta_1+eta_2+eta_3$

	Mean	Exp(Mean)
$\alpha$	10.20	26,853
$eta_1$	0.05	1.05
$eta_2$	0.52	1.69
$eta_3$	0.13	1.14

coefficient  $\beta_3$ 

**Interpreting the interaction** The pay boost for being over 35 years old  $(\beta_2)$  is about 14% greater for men than for women.

### OR

The income advantage for men over women ( $\beta_1$ ) is about 14% greater for respondents over 35 years old.







#### ERACTING CONTINUOUS VARIAB FS

		Mean	Exp(Mean)
	α	10.58	39,549
$\alpha + \beta_1 Hours_i + \beta_2 Hours_$	$eta_1$	0.40	1.49
$eta_2 Dues_i + eta_3 Hours_i Dues_i$	$eta_2$	0.20	1.22
	$eta_3$	-0.07	0.93

## Interpreting the interaction coefficient $\beta_3$

 $\mu_i = \alpha + \beta_1 Hours_i + \beta_1$ 

The extra income associated with increased union and professional dues ( $\beta_2$ ) is reduced by about 7% for every standard-deviation increase in hours worked.

#### OR

The extra money made by working more hours ( $\beta_1$ ) is reduced by about 7% for every standard-deviation increase in union dues paid.

# Identifying cause & effect



## CAUSAL ANALYSIS



## **Causal question:** Does a change in one variable (X) *cause* a change in another (Y)?

Regression only identifies statistical relationships, not causal relationships

To draw a "causal arrow" you need **theory** 

## CAUSAL ANALYSIS



To establish a causal relationship you (usually) need

#### 1. Causal precedence

A theoretical reason to believe that changes in *X* could affect *Y* (e.g. precedes *Y* in time)

#### 2. Statistical association

An established statistical association between *X* and *Y* (e.g. a convincing coefficient estimate)

#### 3. No unaccounted-for confounders

No other variables, observed or otherwise, that *confound* the association between *X* and *Y* 

## **CONFOUNDING VARIABLES**



A variable *Z* is a **confounder** of the relationship between *X* and *Y* if *Z* is a causal influence on both *X* and *Y* 

## **CONFOUNDING VARIABLES**



A variable *Z* is a **confounder** of the relationship between *X* and *Y* if *Z* is a causal influence on both *X* and *Y* 

#### For example:

To establish a causal relationship between education and income, you need to account for race, which could affect both education and income

#### PES OF COVARIATES Confounder

Mediator

Z	





relationship between

Can be included as

interaction variable

to better describe

the relationship between X and Y.

Z alters the

X and Y.

Collider



Z causally influenced by both X and Y.

Must *not* be "controlled for" when establishing relationship between X and Y.

E.g.: Income is a collider for the relationship between gender and occupation.

Z is a causal factor on both X and Y.	Z is influenced by X and influences Y.

Must be "controlled for" to establish nonspurious relationship between X and Y.

*E.g.*: Race confounds the relationship between education and income.

Including as covariate elaborates on relationship between X and Y.

E.g.: Occupation mediates the relationship between gender and income.

*E.g.*: Marital status moderates the relationship between gender and income.



Do college students who come from privileged backgrounds dedicate less effort to studying? 15





Do college students who come from privileged backgrounds dedicate less effort to studying? 15





Do college students who come from privileged backgrounds dedicate less effort to studying?







Do college students who come from privileged backgrounds dedicate less effort to studying?





Do college students who come from privileged backgrounds dedicate less effort to studying? 16



## Image credit



Figures by Peter McMahan (<u>source</u> <u>code</u>)



Still from <u>Severance</u> (2022)



Road Runner and Wile E. Coyote © Warner Bros. Entertainment

